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Introduction

Human well-being is dependent on natural and managed ecosystems, which provide crucial functions and resources for nearly everything we eat, make, and do.\(^1\) Clean water and air, soils and nutrients for food production, timber for construction, and other supplies and services we depend on all come from nature. But many ecosystems are increasingly facing climate risks and impacts that alter ecological processes and functions and affect species across all levels of the food web. These changes in turn can result in reduced biodiversity and diminished ecosystem services (the benefits received from natural systems; Figure 8.1).\(^2,3\) Relationships between humans and ecosystems, such as the kinship values that many Black, Indigenous and Tribal communities experience with regard to nature, are also endangered by these changes.\(^4,5\)
Climate and non-climate stressors together affect biodiversity, ecosystems, and the services they provide.

Figure 8.1. Species and ecosystems respond to pressures in different ways, such as shifting their locations or transforming into new, often degraded systems less able to provide ecosystem services. Adaptation measures can help species and ecosystems cope with some climate impacts but are not always going to be effective or feasible, requiring increasingly difficult decisions on what resources to prioritize and what changes to accept. Adapted from Lipton et al. 2018.
Climate change impacts are already seen in the deterioration of ecosystem functions, as well as in changes in marine and terrestrial primary productivity (growth of plants and algae) and the balance between primary production and respiration (i.e., carbon balances). Ecosystem degradation increases risks to human populations, such as in coastal areas where loss of wetlands increases damage from storms (KM 9.2). Other observed impacts include range shifts as species expand into new regions or disappear from unfavorable areas, altered timing of seasonal and life-cycle events, increased mortality and localized extinctions, and spread of diseases and invasive species (Figure 8.2). These risks are projected to grow with additional degrees of warming (Figure 8.3), as well as with increased atmospheric carbon dioxide, which contributes to the acidification of marine ecosystems (KM 10.1).
Regional Impacts

All US regions are experiencing impacts of climate change on species, ecosystems, and ecosystem services.

**Figure 8.2.** Regional examples show the wide range of potential ecosystem impacts and their socioeconomic ramifications. Some changes may be occurring in more than one region (e.g., loss of coral reefs in both Hawai’i and the US-Affiliated Pacific Islands [USAPI] and in the US Caribbean). Figure credit: Rutgers University and USGS.
Ecosystem Impacts and Risks

Ecosystem impacts and risks increase at higher levels of global warming.

**Figure 8.3.** As global surface temperatures increase relative to the preindustrial period (1850–1900), risks to ecosystems, such as changes in structure and function, become more acute beyond the 1.09°C (1.96°F) of warming that has already occurred (light gray dashed line). Maximum risk is reached below 4°C (7.2°F) of warming in some cases and between 4° and 5°C (9°F) in others. Very high risks to sensitive ecosystems, such as coral reefs, are anticipated above 2°C (3.6°F) and will be difficult to reverse. Adapted with permission from Figure SPM.3 in IPCC 2022.²

Ecosystem-based and climate-informed management that anticipates and adapts to changes can limit damage and increase resilience of ecosystems (Figure 6.7; KM 6.2).¹⁴ Strategies include restoration, habitat protection and connectivity, assisted migration, and adaptive management.¹⁵,¹⁶ However, there are limits to adaptive management, particularly for unique systems and species and the humans who depend on them.² For example, adaptive management may not be able to keep up with rising sea levels that submerge coastal communities and ecosystems (KM 9.1) or extreme heat that is intolerable to humans or other organisms (KM 15.1).

This chapter focuses on risks to terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecosystems; more details on the following ecosystems can be found as noted: land (Ch. 6), forests (Ch. 7), coasts (Ch. 9), oceans (Ch. 10), and agroecosystems (Ch. 11).
Key Message 8.1

Change Is Driving Rapid Ecosystem Transformations

Climate change, together with other stressors, is driving transformational changes in ecosystems, including loss and conversion to other states, and changes in productivity (very likely, high confidence). These changes have serious implications for human well-being (very likely, high confidence). Many types of extreme events are increasing in frequency and/or severity and can trigger abrupt ecosystem changes (medium confidence). Adaptive governance frameworks, including adaptive management, combined with monitoring can help to prepare for, respond to, and alleviate climate change impacts, as well as build resilience for the future (medium confidence).

Ecosystem changes can be driven by physical factors (e.g., thermal stress), biological responses (e.g., changing ranges), or both, often interacting with stressors from human activities. Multiple stressors, both gradual and episodic, can have complex interactive or amplifying effects on ecosystems (Figure 8.4);17,18 for example, severe hurricanes can heighten forest vulnerability to drought and/or fire.19,20

Amplifying Climate Change Effects on Watersheds

Climate effects on watersheds exemplify the amplifying impacts of graduate and episodic stressors.

Figure 8.4. Both gradual and episodic (short-lived) climatic drivers alter the transport of water, nutrients, and sediments from terrestrial watersheds to downstream water bodies. These drivers affect aquatic ecology and ecosystem services throughout the hydrological system, even in areas distant from drivers of change (e.g., more intense rainfall leading to leaching of fertilizers that stimulate harmful algal blooms downstream).21 The frequency and intensity of episodic extreme events is projected to increase (KM 2.2), raising risks for many species (Figure 8.10). Figure credit: Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies.
Many ecosystems are at increased risk of ecosystem tipping points (where rapid and unpredictable conversions to new states occur), although it is difficult to predict how, where, and when these changes will occur. Transformative changes in the composition, structure, function, and other properties of ecosystems result in a new stable state, or regime, with a different combination of species and communities, often resulting in reduced biodiversity and ecosystem services. Restoring an ecosystem may be difficult or even impossible if a critical threshold or tipping point is crossed and a different system emerges, because changing or restoring the drivers that led to the altered state may not result in a return to the original state (Figure 8.5).

**Tipping Points and Regime Changes**

The Arctic faces substantial impacts from thawing permafrost that cannot be reversed.

*Figure 8.5.* Thawing of permafrost can cause irreversible tipping points in Arctic landscapes, transforming intact ecosystems (left) to severely altered ones (right), with impacts on people. A warming climate and fires lead to melting ground ice. Arctic and boreal forests contain permafrost soils with excess ice (more than is contained in soil pores), which form 3D networks in the ground. With warming, this ground ice can melt and the ground surface collapses (A). Fires, a natural part of the boreal disturbance cycle, are increasing in extent, frequency, and severity. Melting ice can lead to accumulation of water in ponds, lakes, and wetlands, but continued thawing can cause lakes to drain. Permafrost can also thaw abruptly, causing thaw slumps and bank failures (B). These geomorphological changes impact human infrastructure (C) and access to the land (D). Other risks (not pictured) include chemical and potentially disease mobilization that can threaten human health and ecosystems. Human adaptation strategies to permafrost thaw include installing firebreaks around infrastructure (E). Adapted from Schuur et al. 2022 [CC BY 4.0].

Ecosystem changes can be gradual or relatively abrupt and depend in part on ecosystem characteristics and key species. Ecosystems with immobile or long-lived species such as corals or trees can often exhibit abrupt responses because they have limited capacity to keep pace. Ecosystems with higher biodiversity have more species interactions and often exhibit slow changes at first followed by abrupt shifts. Multiple stressors can lead to synergistic effects and trigger abrupt changes. Examples include the co-occurrence of extreme heat, drought, and invasive grasses (Figure 8.6) or wildfires followed by insect infestations (or vice versa; Focus on Western Wildfires).
Abrupt Changes in Ecosystem State

Climate change interacts with other stressors to cause synergistic effects, and resulting ecosystem changes can be abrupt and difficult to reverse.

**Figure 8.6.** In the western US, drought and longer, hotter growing seasons combined with invasive grasses and overgrazing have transformed sagebrush shrublands past a tipping point into annual grasslands that experience more frequent wildfires and no longer support native biodiversity and livestock grazing. Removing invasive grasses and seeding with native plants often does not restore the original shrubland ecosystem. Adapted from Foley et al. 2015 [CC BY 4.0].

Vulnerability of ecosystems to climate change depends on exposure to the physical drivers of change and characteristics that affect species’ sensitivity and capacity to adapt. Examples of vulnerable ecosystems experiencing transformation are increasingly common (Figure 8.7). There is evidence that ecosystems with higher biodiversity are more resilient in the face of climate change, indicating that better protection and reduced fragmentation and degradation of ecosystems are potential climate-adaptation strategies.
Transformations to ecosystems are already noticeable and widespread.

Figure 8.7. There are numerous and widespread examples of ecosystems transforming to altered states, with complex drivers and outcomes.\textsuperscript{22,43,44,45,46} Climate-driven ecological transformations are occurring in all regions of the US and often negatively impact the services these ecosystems provide, including regulation of carbon and water cycles, wildlife habitat, and recreation. Figure credit: USDA Forest Service, USGS, and NOAA Fisheries. Photo credits (clockwise from top right): John Bradford, USGS; Steve Lonhart/NOAA; ©Elizabeth-Ann Jamison; Ilsa B. Kuffner, USGS; Sarah K. Schoen, USGS; ©Nicholas Smith; John Bradford, USGS; ©Anna Armitage.
Monitoring Transformations

Identifying and monitoring species or ecosystem traits that provide early warnings of vulnerability, system-wide decline, or tipping points can assist in reducing risks. Numerous long-term monitoring networks (Figure 8.8) have been established in recent decades in direct response to climate and other changes. Community-led (“citizen”) science efforts such as iNaturalist and the USA National Phenology Network, alongside community-based monitoring networks and Indigenous Knowledge holders (KM 16.3) also collect observations across large areas and have helped detect altered species distributions, abundances, and phenologies.

Monitoring Ecosystem Changes

Monitoring programs are critically important for observing and projecting trends in resilience, species invasions, range shifts, declines, and extinctions.

Figure 8.8. Federally operated networks (NPS I&M, NERR) and other long-term networks (LTER, LTAR, NEON, MBON, AmeriFlux) provide consistent and permanent observations at limited sites, whereas volunteer networks (USA-NPN, Indigenous Sentinels) offer more opportunistic observations across a wider landscape. Together, these networks provide critical data for understanding species and ecosystem changes, although gaps in coverage remain. Figure credit: Lynker and USGS.
Addressing Risks and Managing for Change

Climate change and other disturbances that transform ecosystems create growing management challenges. Building, preserving, or restoring ecosystems is often the most practical and effective strategy, however, ecosystem transformation may still be inevitable. Conventional resource management approaches are often ill-suited for managing uncertainties and related trade-offs. In contrast, adaptive management iteratively plans, implements, and modifies strategies for managing resources under uncertainty. Successful adaptive management requires an overarching adaptive governance approach that provides institutional structures and decision-making processes for coordinating efforts across scales, managing uncertainties and conflicts, mobilizing diverse knowledges, and addressing stakeholder interests.

Decision frameworks designed to anticipate ecosystem transformation can advance adaptive management processes (Figure 8.9). As one example, the Resist–Accept–Direct (RAD) framework helps identify conditions where ecosystem management can resist a trajectory of change, accept change, or direct change toward desired future conditions (Figure 8.9b). To engage the “direct” in their RAD planning, Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge in Alaska is combining scenarios, adaptive management, and adaptive pathway planning to engage managers and stakeholders to explore potential transformations, with one focus specifically on subsistence hunting.

Adaptation and Transformation Planning Frameworks

Decision frameworks can help plan for the potential transformation of ecosystems.

Figure 8.9. Two examples of adaptive decision frameworks are the Corals and Climate Adaptation Planning cycle (a) and the Resist–Accept–Direct (RAD) framework (b). In (a), users are guided through assessment and design considerations to adjust climate-smart management interventions. In (b), the current ecosystem (gray) is affected by either moderate or strong transformational forcing that drives decisions (black dots) to resist (red time periods), accept (yellow time periods), and direct (green time periods) the trajectory of change. (a) Adapted from West et al. 2017, 2018, [CC BY 4.0]; (b) adapted from Lynch et al. 2022.
Key Message 8.2

Species Changes and Biodiversity Loss Are Accelerating

The interaction of climate change with other stressors is causing biodiversity loss, changes in species distributions and life cycles, and increasing impacts from invasive species and diseases, all of which have economic and social consequences (very likely, high confidence). Future responses of species and populations will depend on the magnitude and timing of changes, coupled with the differential sensitivity of organisms; species that cannot easily relocate or are highly temperature sensitive may face heightened extinction risks (very likely, high confidence). Identification of risks (e.g., extreme events) will help prioritize species and locations for protection and improve options for management (very likely, high confidence).

Climate-related stressors and other drivers of global change, such as land-use change, habitat destruction, and overexploitation, can create significant biodiversity changes and losses (Figure 8.1). Even short-term extreme events such as heatwaves can generate significant species impacts. For example, coral reefs are threatened by cumulative impacts of ocean warming and acidification, marine heatwaves resulting in bleaching and higher susceptibility to diseases, increasingly powerful tropical cyclones causing loss of structural complexity, hypoxia (low oxygen) events, overfishing, and pollution (Figure 8.10a, b; Box 10.1; KMs 9.2, 10.1). Similarly, wildfires (Focus on Western Wildfires) can create risks for some species both directly (Figure 8.10c, d) and indirectly through longer-term habitat changes.
Extreme Event Impacts

Short-term extreme events can have severe impacts on threatened species.

Figure 8.10. Two examples of such impacts are as follows. (a) High water temperatures off Southeast Florida exceeded the maximum average monthly temperature (horizontal line in time series) in 2014–2015, resulting in severe bleaching of (b) pillar coral (*Dendrogyra cylindrus*) colonies and subsequent disease and death of all individuals. (c) Wildfires impacted more than 75% of breeding pairs (blue polygons) of (d) Mexican spotted owl (MSO; *Strix occidentalis lucida*) in Smokey Bear Ranger District, New Mexico, in 2012. Figure credits: (a) adapted from Jones et al. 2021 [CC BY 4.0]; (c) USDA Forest Service, NOAA Fisheries, and NOAA NCEI. Photo credits: (b) ©David Gilliam, Nova Southeastern University; (d) ©Serra J. Hoagland, USDA Forest Service.

Changes in Phenology

Compounding the responses of species to extreme events, the timing of seasonal events such as leaf-out, flowering, migration, spawning, phytoplankton blooms, and egg hatching is changing in response to rising winter and spring temperatures and to the altered timing and amount of snowmelt and rainfall (Figures 8.8, A4.13). Changes include earlier flowering and maturity in agricultural crops that affect planting and harvest times, longer and more intense allergy seasons (KM 14.4), and increased pest activity. Changes are most pronounced at high latitudes and elevations and in urbanized areas. Phenological mismatches emerge when the timing of activities in interacting species changes at different rates, such as food availability shifting to no longer match a dependent organism's needs. Phenological changes are also impacting seasonal carbon cycling and increasing vulnerability to spring frost damage (App. 4). There are significant economic and social impacts of these changes, including tourism impacts and loss of culturally important species.
Range Shifts

Elevational and latitudinal range shifts driven by climate change have already occurred for multiple species (Figure 8.11), with range shifts of marine species more responsive and greater in magnitude than terrestrial ones (KM 10.1; Figure A4.12). Mountaintop ranges are shrinking as species shift upslope, with high-elevation ones highly vulnerable. Milder winters and warmer growing seasons are expected to expand ranges for some species.

Observed Range Shifts and Changes in Phenology

Climate change is leading to shifts in phenology and range for species across the United States.

Figure 8.11. Many plant and animal species are shifting to higher elevations, to more northern latitudes, or in multiple directions (here labeled “regional advancement”). The timing of seasonal activity is similarly shifting in response to warmer temperatures and changing precipitation regimes, in many cases occurring earlier in the year, although the direction and magnitude of changes are species-specific. Figure credit: University of Arizona and USFWS.

Conditions can change over very localized scales, creating complex “mosaic” patterns of environmental stressors. Climate refugia occur in locations where environmental conditions are changing more slowly than in surrounding areas or where local drivers override more regional-scale processes. These refugia are expected to support organisms that can repopulate other depleted areas through dispersal via currents or land corridors and are therefore a priority for conservation (Figure 8.12). Identification of the many existing refugia expected to disappear under climate change is crucial.
Environmental Mosaics and Climate Refugia

Climate refugia are locations where environmental conditions are changing more slowly than in the surrounding region.

**Figure 8.12.** Refugia help populations survive extreme events, and when connected via dispersal currents and corridors can serve as rescue sites. Understanding variations in environmental exposures and organism sensitivities to extreme conditions helps forecast climate impacts and inform management strategies. Adapted from Morelli et al. 2016 [CC0 1.0].
**Species Sensitivities and Extinction Risks**

Understanding species sensitivities to climate impacts and adaptive capacity can help detect ecological tipping points (KM 8.1).\textsuperscript{131,132} Large-bodied animals (Box 8.1)\textsuperscript{133} and species occupying polar habitats are particularly at risk of local extinction due to physiological vulnerabilities.\textsuperscript{134} In contrast, smaller-bodied species often have more widely variable responses to changing conditions (Figure 8.13).

**Observed Pollinator Sensitivities**

*Insect pollinator responses to environmental stressors, even within the same taxonomic grouping, can vary widely.*

**Figure 8.13.** Pollinator responses to changing climate conditions within a short time frame (the past 10–30 years) are leading to complex patterns of species movements across the landscape. Several species of bumble bees (panel 1) have had different responses over the past 10 years, from shifting in habitat within their ranges to range contractions and extinction risks. In panel 2, butterfly species are responding with declines and shifts within existing ranges or with range expansions nationwide. Figure credit: Colorado State University.
The North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) is one of the world’s most endangered large whales, primarily due to historical commercial hunting, with fewer than 350 individuals remaining. This species is vulnerable to climate change–driven extinction in part because of its large size, long lifespan, slow growth, delayed maturity, and small number of offspring. Population recovery has been hindered by climate-driven changes in the distribution, availability, and quality of zooplankton, which has altered whale foraging patterns (KM 10.1). As finding shelter and food becomes more difficult, the whales become more susceptible to disease, fishing gear entanglements, and vessel strikes, contributing to decreased body size and reproductive success (Figure 8.14). Loss of these whales can have cascading effects on ecosystem composition and function.

**Threats to North Atlantic Right Whales**

*Figure 8.14.* The whale known as Snow Cone is shown with her newborn calf near Cumberland Island, Georgia, in 2021. She was entangled in fishing rope for at least two years and is currently presumed deceased. Such threats are exacerbated as whales travel into new feeding areas because of changing oceanographic conditions. Photo credit: ©Georgia Department of Natural Resources/NOAA Permit #20556.

**Disease Risks**

Disease threats to wildlife, plants, and humans have emerged as a significant climate change risk. Climate change promotes range expansions and population growth of disease-spreading (vector) species, increased host susceptibility via stress, and enhanced pathogen transmission (Table 8.1; KM 15.1) with major economic consequences. Diseases often thrive where other stressors are present; prevalence is projected to further increase as populations and ecosystems become stressed from temperature variation and extreme events, changes in habitats, altered migration patterns and ranges, biodiversity loss, and increases in invasive species (KMs 15.1, 30.4; Figure A4.16).
Table 8.1. Climate-Impacted Disease Risks in Humans and Wildlife

Numerous wildlife and human diseases (KM 15.1) are expanding to new areas and species and becoming more common as climate change expands vector ranges and changes species interactions and habitat preferences. Sources: Islam et al. 2022; Gilbert 2021; Ogden et al. 2021; Sonenshine 2018; Keesing and Ostfeld 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen: Virus</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Affected Organisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West Nile virus</td>
<td>Birds and mammals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus</td>
<td>Freshwater and marine fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White spot syndrome virus</td>
<td>Aquatic crustaceans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tomato spotted wilt virus</td>
<td>Plants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example of impacts:** Viral hemorrhagic septicemia damages wild and farm-raised fish such as rainbow trout, with patterns of spread and establishment being highly correlated with climatic variables (temperature, precipitation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen: Bacteria</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Affected Organisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Furunculosis</td>
<td>Trout and salmon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enteric red mouth disease</td>
<td>Freshwater and marine fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Citrus greening</td>
<td>Plants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example of impacts:** Citrus greening is a bacterial disease transmitted by an invasive insect (Asian citrus psyllid). Because the disease is highly sensitive to temperature, climate change is expected to allow it to spread farther. Since 2005, Florida citrus production has declined 74%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen: Fungus</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Affected Organisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White-nose syndrome</td>
<td>Bats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chytridiomycosis</td>
<td>Amphibians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid ʻŌhi’a death</td>
<td>Plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Armillaria root rot</td>
<td>Plants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example of impacts:** Rapid ʻŌhi’a death is a fungal disease that impacts ʻŌhi’a lehua, a Hawaiian keystone species with important functional and cultural roles. Large-scale mortality is projected to worsen in a warmer and wetter climate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen: Parasite</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease</strong></td>
<td><strong>Affected Organisms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avian malaria</td>
<td>Birds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative kidney disease</td>
<td>Salmon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brainworm</td>
<td>Moose, elk, caribou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seagrass wasting disease</td>
<td>Aquatic plants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example of impacts:** Brainworm is a parasitic nematode spread via white-tailed deer, which are currently expanding farther northward. In moose, population declines due to brainworm are already affecting subsistence hunting among some Tribal communities.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen: Unknown</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease</strong></td>
<td><strong>Affected Organisms</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stony coral tissue loss disease</td>
<td>Corals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White band disease</td>
<td>Corals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colony collapse disorder</td>
<td>Bees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example of impacts:** Stony coral tissue loss disease originated in Florida in 2014 and has spread throughout the Caribbean, with thermal stress implicated in reef vulnerability. The disease affects more than 30 coral species, including many important reef-builders. Rapid spread and high mortality rates have had serious economic consequences for tourism and fishing.
Invasive Species Risks

Climate change has created uncertainty about where and how fast invasive species will spread, but there are both observed cases\(^\text{164}\) and projections showing expected increases.\(^\text{165}\) For example, cold-sensitive invasive species such as the kudzu vine (\textit{Pueraria montana var. lobata}) can spread northward with warming.\(^\text{166}\) Some invasive species are more successful than natives—particularly certain terrestrial plants\(^\text{167}\) and aquatic species\(^\text{168}\)—because they better tolerate or more rapidly adapt to changing conditions (Figure 8.15). Yet not all invasive species are favored by climate change; many invasive plants and vertebrates may experience decreased ranges while the ranges of many invasive invertebrates and pathogens are expected to increase.\(^\text{169}\)

Invasive Species and Climate Change

\textbf{Figure 8.15.} Examples of invasive species include the following: (a) Hemlock woolly adelgid, an insect pest, is expected to spread northward with warmer winters and cause die-offs of eastern hemlock trees.\(^\text{170}\) (b) Invasive carp are expected to benefit from warmer waters and expand into the Great Lakes, where they will compete with native fishes and present boating hazards through their habit of jumping out of the water.\(^\text{171}\) (c) Eurasian watermilfoil chokes freshwater systems and outcompetes natives in warmer conditions.\(^\text{172}\) (d) European green crabs, which benefit from warmer waters, harm economically important native shellfish fisheries.\(^\text{173}\) Photo credits: (a) Kerry Wixted via Flickr [CC BY-NC 2.0]; (b) Steve Hillebrand, USFWS; (c) ©Stephen K. Hamilton, Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies; (d) ©P. Sean McDonald, University of Washington.
Assisting Species Adaptation

Natural resource managers are implementing adaptation actions including increasing conservation efforts, reducing habitat fragmentation, protecting wildlife corridors, assisting species migration, and expanding protection activities. For example, marine protected areas can reduce non-climate stressors like overfishing and facilitate recovery of populations following extreme events like heatwaves, which then benefits recreational and commercial fishing in surrounding areas (KM 28.2). Many states now include climate impacts in state wildlife action plans; for example, Massachusetts has identified habitat patches allowing for movement of the threatened Blanding’s turtle and is creating habitats that balance increased drought and other threats.

Managing for connectivity can enhance species climate resilience, particularly for wide-ranging and migratory species. Priorities include connecting climate refugia, areas of high diversity, and current and future habitat types. For example, resilience strategies for the saltmarsh sparrow (Ammospiza caudacuta), which has declined dramatically due to rising sea levels, include protection of areas expected to convert into future wetlands, use of runnels and other elevation manipulations, and high-marsh restoration.

Assisted migration has been implemented for at-risk species such as the Laysan albatross, O’ahu tree snail, relict leopard frog, and wolf (Figure 8.16). In the Chippewa National Forest in Minnesota, seeds of tree species native to red pine forests but collected 100–200 km to the south—and thus genetically distinct from local populations—are being planted to test assisted migration.

Managing for Species Adaptation

Assisted migration can help species adapt to changing climate conditions.

Figure 8.16. One example is the translocation of wolves to Isle Royale National Park, Michigan. The loss of ice bridges in winter prevented new arrivals that would have maintained genetic viability of the population. Photo credit: Jacob W. Frank, NPS.
Implications for Management

While protected areas can help species adapt to climate change, these areas are themselves vulnerable; many US protected areas are expected to see major shifts in vegetation communities and other species. Further, the existing US protected areas system has low overlap with projected climate refugia; extending protection to include future habitat suitability for some species may double costs. Given continued range shifts, areas with priority species that draw tourists (e.g., bird watchers) will need to refocus as some species become rarer or disappear, impacting neighboring communities dependent on tourism revenue.

Conflicts (between humans and with wildlife) arising from climate-driven changes in distribution and availability of species and resources are occurring. For example, some species are moving out of areas set up to conserve them, and range shifts of fish stocks (including across international boundaries) are causing challenges (KM 10.1). Some adaptation policies (e.g., translocation of nonhuman species into human communities unwilling to coexist with them) may exacerbate conflicts (KM 17.2). Adaptive management that prioritizes both climate change response planning and conflict management can reduce negative outcomes.

Key Message 8.3

Impacts to Ecosystem Services Create Risks and Opportunities

Climate change is having variable and increasing impacts on ecosystem services and benefits, from food production to clean water to carbon sequestration, with consequences for human well-being (very likely, high confidence). Changes in availability and quality of ecosystem services, combined with existing social inequities, have disproportionate impacts on certain communities (very likely, high confidence). Equity-driven nature-based solutions, designed to protect, manage, and restore ecosystems for human well-being, can provide climate adaptation and mitigation benefits (likely, medium confidence).

Ecosystem services provide substantial and often economically important contributions to communities, ranging from direct material benefits like food production and clean water to nonmaterial benefits like recreation (Figure 8.17). However, economic valuation alone does not reflect intrinsic or relational values that people hold toward nature; for example, Tribal and Indigenous Peoples rely on ecosystems for supplies of culturally valuable food, materials for religious ceremonies, and relational links within communities and among generations (KM 16.1).
Ecosystem Services and Their Benefits

**Ecosystems provide a broad range of relational benefits, from the material to the spiritual.**

**Figure 8.17.** Ecosystem services, also called “nature’s contributions to people,” are the benefits that humans receive or derive from ecosystems. These are both material (e.g., energy sources) and non-material (e.g., sense of place), and contribute to the regulation of ecosystem processes. The broad categories of benefits pictured are fluid and overlapping. People value nature in multiple ways, such as “living as” nature (e.g., Indigenous viewpoints that humans are part of the environment; Figure 16.3) or “living from” nature (e.g., people’s dependency on key services). Adapted from O’Connor and Kenter 2019 [CC BY 4.0].

There are many adverse climate change effects on ecosystem services, including reduced water availability for human and agricultural uses (KM 4.1), decreased productivity of crop species due to increased pest infestations (KM 11.1), and losses of hazard-mitigating ecosystems like wetlands and coastal shorelines that provide nursery and nesting habitat, recreation, and aesthetic pleasure (Table 8.2; KM 9.2). However, future trends on ecosystem use and benefits are not always clear. For example, rising temperatures can extend seasonal recreational opportunities, but if daily high temperatures exceed 27°–30°C (80.6°–86°F), recreation tends to decrease.
Further, diminished benefits from ecosystem services can also occur based on other factors. For example, discriminatory planning practices, housing segregation, and racism have created inequitable distributions of services, leading to communities of color experiencing reduced access to benefits like improved air quality or heat reduction (KM 12.2; Figure 12.6). Lack of access often accompanies other environmental harms (e.g., greater exposure to allergens or risks of green gentrification, the displacement of local residents as environmental benefits improve). Climate change is expected to exacerbate these impacts and create further difficulties in addressing environmental racism, highlighting the need for clear management priorities and recognition of diverse values.

Table 8.2. Examples of Climate Impacts on Ecosystem Services

Climate change affects the availability and quality of many ecosystem services, and many projected impacts on important ecosystem services will also have equity implications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem Service</th>
<th>Potential Climate Impacts</th>
<th>Equity Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of Natural Hazards</td>
<td>Coastal marsh retreat is projected due to sea level rise and increased storm activity</td>
<td>Flood risks are often inequitably distributed; for example, property damage risks can be disproportionately higher for Black communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical and Psychological Experi-</td>
<td>Cold-weather recreational opportunities are projected to decline (e.g., fewer skiing days)</td>
<td>Less green space access in low-income communities and communities of color already results in fewer opportunities for recreation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quantity</td>
<td>Changes in precipitation, snowpack, soil moisture, and evapotranspiration are projected to alter surface and groundwater availability (KM 4.1; Figure A4.7).</td>
<td>Drought often has disparate impacts; for example, Tribal reservations in the US Southwest with higher agricultural dependence will be particularly impacted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation of Air Quality</td>
<td>Street trees provide considerable urban air quality benefits but are vulnerable to drought and heat.</td>
<td>Existing tree canopy distribution is inequitable, accounting for greater air pollution associated with legacies of redlining.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Production (fisheries)</td>
<td>Aquatic systems are experiencing shifts in species ranges, phenologies, distributions, and productivities.</td>
<td>Culturally important species, such as Chinook salmon for Pacific Northwest Tribes, are projected to dramatically decline in the future.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opportunities for Nature-Based Solutions

Ecosystem-based mitigation and adaptation opportunities are often called nature-based solutions (NBSs) or natural climate solutions (Figure 8.18). NBSs support biodiversity and can provide other benefits when managed in collaboration with affected communities and use of local knowledge (KM 21.1). For example, coastal wetland restoration provides both mitigation and adaptation benefits by sequestering carbon and decreasing coastal flooding, wave action, and erosion while improving water quality and increasing habitat biodiversity (KM 9.3; Focus on Blue Carbon). NBS projects are often very cost-effective, spurring new financing options.

Ecosystem-based adaptation is a type of NBS aimed at increasing community resilience to climate change through the use of ecosystems. Examples include protecting and restoring floodplains to help reduce flood impacts or helping farmers cope with drought through soil conservation measures. There are high returns on investments to restore coastal ecosystems in particular, since US coral reefs provide estimated adaptation benefits of more than $1.8 billion annually (dollar year not provided). These approaches can also have positive equity benefits when designed with local participation and buy-in through collaborative approaches.
Nature-Based Solutions

Nature-based solutions buffer the effects of climate change.

Figure 8.18. Nature-based solutions (NBS) are actions to protect, manage, and restore ecosystems to address societal challenges such as climate change. Examples in the US include (a) oyster restoration; (b) cover cropping; (c) stormwater management; and (d) urban agriculture. These not only help buffer the impacts of climate change, such as through physical barriers or improved local microclimates, but also provide additional benefits like food and habitat provisioning. Figure credit: Rutgers University and NPS. See figure metadata for additional contributors. Photo credits: (a) Linda Walters, NPS; (b) David Bosch, USDA; (c) Alisha Goldstein, EPA; (d) Bob Nichols, USDA.
Current and future opportunities for NBSs exist across the US, particularly for mitigation solutions focused on protecting and increasing carbon storage by natural ecosystems (Figures 6.6, 8.19; Focus on Blue Carbon). Planning for future protected areas for both climate and biodiversity could emphasize areas that not only hold large amounts of carbon but also help species adapt, recognizing the important role that many animal species play in carbon cycling. However, NBSs themselves are also vulnerable to rising temperatures, sea level rise, and other climate impacts.

**Climate Mitigation Potential of Nature-Based Solutions in 2025**

Nature-based solutions can support carbon storage while also providing other benefits.

**Figure 8.19.** Nature-based solutions (NBSs) can preserve or enhance carbon storage in soils and biomass across natural systems like forests, grasslands, and wetlands, as well as agricultural lands. Different approaches vary in their climate mitigation potential, shown here as teragrams of carbon dioxide equivalent (Tg CO$_2$-eq per year, length of bars) in the year 2025. Lighter green shades indicate the estimated portion of mitigation obtainable for less than $10, $50, or $100 per megagram of CO$_2$-eq (Mg CO$_2$-eq). The dark green “Maximum” category shows the highest technical carbon sequestration potential that is also consistent with meeting human needs for food and fiber. Black lines are error bars indicating either the 95% confidence interval or an uncertainty range, depending on the source of the estimate. The arrow indicates a range that may exceed the values shown on the chart. Other potential benefits of NBSs are also indicated for each category (colored dots). Figure addresses contiguous US only. Adapted from Fargione et al. 2018 [CC BY 4.0].

NBSs that involve restoring degraded ecosystems can improve resilience and increase provision of ecosystem services. Ideally, restoration is designed to recover a range of potential benefits. However, multiple services cannot necessarily be maximized simultaneously, as focusing on one ecosystem service at the expense of other benefits leads to trade-offs. Larger-scale restoration efforts are generally more successful when connected to local priorities including their use in addressing environmental inequities (Box 8.2).
### Box 8.2. Restoration and Ecosystem Management by Tribal Nations

Tribal forestry programs throughout the US provide exemplary models of Indigenous land management practices that showcase Tribes’ ability to balance sustainable environmental stewardship, fulfilling the social, ecological and economic needs of their communities. The “anchor forests” concept, in which Tribes are at the center of multiple landownerships and serve as the primary hub for providing forest management infrastructure, is one effective approach. Such initiatives maximize concepts of Tribal sovereignty and Indigenous Knowledge to restore forests at the pace and scale needed to mitigate and adapt to rapid climate change. Furthermore, traditional and contemporary Indigenous management practices that support both cultural and spiritual relationships with nature and an equitable climate transition can serve as critical pathways to sustaining ecosystems (KMs 7.3, 16.1). Incorporating local knowledge and Indigenous Peoples in the co-development of restoration activities can produce considerable benefits.
Traceable Accounts

Process Description

The chapter lead author, coordinating lead author, and agency chapter lead authors discussed the Fourth National Climate Assessment (NCA4) ecosystems chapter and brainstormed topics that had emerged since then or were not well covered. The chapter lead author also pulled out key gaps identified from the US Global Change Research Program assessment review document and public comments. A tentative list was compiled of authors with expertise in ecosystems, biodiversity, and ecosystem services; marine, freshwater, and terrestrial systems covering NCA regions; and ecosystem types. The final author team comprised a mix of federal agency scientists and academic experts with varying experience in assessments and past NCAs. Key Messages were developed by the full author team through virtual meetings from fall 2021 through spring 2022, with additional inputs from a public engagement workshop held in January 2022, in which over 100 people participated virtually to suggest topics for review by the chapter. A Youth Dialogues public engagement workshop was held online in February 2022 in partnership with the Youth Environmental Alliance in Higher Education and Rutgers Climate Institute. Federal agency reviews in summer 2022 provided further suggestions for improvement, as did additional public comments and the National Academies review in spring 2023. At the April 2023 in-person meeting in Washington, DC, the author team collectively discussed the wording and confidence levels for the three Key Messages to ensure consensus around the statements.

Since NCA4, a plethora of research has been published describing how ecosystems are changing or are expected to change further in the face of climate change and other stressors, along with numerous specific species and ecosystem services impacts. The evidence base for this report is therefore heavily weighted to peer-reviewed journal articles published in the last five years.

Key Message 8.1
Climate Change Is Driving Rapid Ecosystem Transformations

Description of Evidence Base

Ecosystem Regime Shifts

Many examples of regime shifts resulting from transformative changes are already documented, and the evidence base is strong across multiple ecosystem types, including forest transformations to grassland or woodland following increased wildfires; widespread die-off of pinyon pines from drought and bark beetle infestations; and shifts from healthy kelp forests to urchin barrens due to epizootic disease and marine heatwaves in nearshore marine environments. Overall, regime shifts of temperate ecosystems toward more subtropical ones at their southern limits are expected in response to future decreases in the frequency and intensity of extreme cold events. For example, mangrove forests in Florida and along the Gulf Coast are projected to expand northward into present-day salt marshes.

Monitoring

Systematic biodiversity surveys, digitized museum records, and long-term automated data collection have all demonstrated the importance of multiple methods of monitoring of environmental changes through strong evidence bases.
Major Uncertainties and Research Gaps

Complexity of Impacts on Ecosystems
The ability to predict ecological responses to changing climate conditions remains a key gap for most ecosystems because of complex interactions among species, the potential for adaptation (through both evolutionary responses and human activity), and the intersection of climate change with other drivers of change. For example, warmer temperatures can lead not only to increased forest regeneration and tree growth but also to increased mortality of older trees through wildfires, insects, and disease, with the resulting net impacts highly uncertain. Warmer winters are generally expected to benefit forest pests, but complex interactions among pests, their hosts, and other disturbances can make the combined effects more muted than otherwise expected. Recent research suggests that multiple disturbances can have counteracting effects, although patterns are not always clear, and sometimes intensified combined effects (synergies) also occur.

Monitoring
There are a number of gaps in comprehensive, long-term ecological monitoring to detect changes and to predict the risks of future climate change. Improved knowledge of biological response mechanisms that drive ecological changes will enable better anticipation of ecosystem shifts, especially for systems dominated by long-lived species and where impacts emerge after a time lag, this makes eliminating monitoring gaps (e.g., in Arctic and ocean regions) critical. Community monitoring programs are promising but can be biased (e.g., lack of uniform sampling) toward particular regions or species.

Adaptive Management
While adaptive management is widely considered an effective approach for managing uncertainty through learning in order to conserve, manage, and restore ecosystems and species populations, successful implementation is limited by the lack of effective monitoring mechanisms, challenges in dealing with uncertainty, and lack of appropriate institutional mechanisms for its implementation, among other problems. As a result, an adaptive governance approach is increasingly understood as a broader and more promising mechanism for addressing the social and institutional requirements of adaptive management while also facilitating social–ecological transformation. However, the adaptive governance approach also has its own conceptual and implementation challenges that need to be addressed in order to enhance success, given insufficient evidence on effective implementation and questions about its capacity to bring about transformational changes. There is also potential for undesirable outcomes, such as inadequate consideration of power and social equity issues. Moreover, there are gaps in research on enhancing the transition process toward adaptive management and governance and associated outcomes, as well as lack of clarity on the synergies and trade-offs among determinants of the capacity for adaptation and transformation.

Description of Confidence and Likelihood
A growing body of empirical field studies and monitoring programs shows that climate change, in concert with other stressors, is driving transformational changes across many ecosystems and that changes will accelerate with continued warming (very likely, high confidence). Given the growing impacts of ecosystem change, the serious implications for human well-being were also considered very likely, and the authors assessed high confidence, given the empirical studies across multiple ecosystems (i.e., not just projections) showing that a range of well-being impacts are already being experienced across economic, cultural, and social systems. As Chapter 2 has indicated, extreme events are increasing in frequency and/or severity, and these events are more frequently implicated in abrupt ecosystem changes; but because of limited studies examining the direct correlation of extreme events on abrupt ecosystem transformations, the authors assessed only medium confidence. The authors also note that adaptive governance frameworks, adaptive management, and monitoring all play a role in helping to cope with climate changes; but given the paucity of evidence of long-term impacts of adaptive governance, the authors assessed only medium confidence.
Key Message 8.2
Species Changes and Biodiversity Loss Are Accelerating

Description of Evidence Base

Range Shifts
Shifts in species ranges in response to changing climate occur across a wide range of species and are expected to accelerate. The evidence base is strong across a wide range of marine, plant, invertebrate, reptile, bird, and mammal species; selected examples are shown in Figure 8.11, but many more exist. Further, there is strong evidence for the patterns of range shifts differing among types of species; for example, multiple studies have shown that marine species have expanded their ranges more readily than terrestrial species, with shifts in distributions occurring more quickly as well, whereas terrestrial species tend to have greater behavioral adaptations and less physiological sensitivity to temperature changes.

Phenological Changes
The evidence base of documented responses in the timing of life cycles to climate change is strong, ranging from earlier flowering dates in many parts of the country, to shifts in hibernation of mammals, to timing of egg laying of frogs. Very rapid changes can be easily observed, for example, in short-lived plants that have high turnover rates and more rapid genetic adaptation, lending strength to the evidence base.

Extinction Risks
Long-term studies (i.e., decades) are needed to discern the fingerprints of climate change on long-lived animals, which can be challenging. But some impacts are in evidence; for example, sea level rise is expected to impact nesting site availability and quality for sea turtles, while warming temperatures can affect sex ratio of offspring. Refugia have potential to mitigate some extinction risks for species able to take advantage of them, but the evidence base is fairly new. Further, emerging modeling studies have indicated that these areas, too, are at risk; for example, Ebersole et al. (2020) found that under a 4°C (7.2°F) warming scenario, there was a >50% probability that refugia for freshwater fish species would decrease in area by 42%–77% by 2070.

Disease Risks
Disease risks are occurring as a result of many factors and across different hosts and pathogens; given the large number of potential risks, meta-analyses have been helpful in providing overviews of the evidence base. One comprehensive review of infectious diseases spread between humans and animals found that 58% of diseases worldwide have been exacerbated by climate change (e.g., warming, altered precipitation, and floods). Only 16% of diseases were diminished by climate change. A global analysis of thousands of wildlife populations indicated that climate warming exacerbates wildlife disease throughout the temperate zone worldwide and is expected to increase wildlife disease in the United States. A different global analysis of 6,801 ecological assemblages demonstrated that human–dominated ecosystems strongly favored animal species that host human disease pathogens while decreasing the presence of non–host animals, a strong evidence base for the finding that stressed ecosystems tend to experience more disease risk. Many empirical examples of ongoing disease outbreaks—e.g., fish kills and large–scale coral disease outbreaks following coral bleaching events—have increased in number and are evidence of perturbed aquatic systems where disease stresses are exacerbated by warming. The well-documented catastrophic declines in amphibian populations caused by the invasive chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* have also been well linked to warming conditions.
Major Uncertainties and Research Gaps

Range Shifts
The speed and extent of some species range shifts remain uncertain. Climate envelope models use current relationships among species ranges and climatic characteristics to project how ranges may shift in the face of climate change, yet they necessarily assume that climate is the main constraint on ranges and that species rapidly respond. In reality, species responses can be slowed and limited by dispersal ability, natural and human-created barriers, and species interactions.

Moreover, climate change is expected to present organisms with novel environmental conditions, making predictions based on historical relationships problematic. Specifically, improving such predictions would require a better understanding of the degree to which range shifts occur due to longer-term climatic changes versus periodic extreme weather events such as heatwaves brought on by those climatic changes.

While climate refugia are increasingly discussed in the literature, they are themselves vulnerable to climate impacts, and there is uncertainty about their persistence and resilience.

Phenological Changes
The individual and variable responses of species to climate change is expected to disrupt important biological interactions. Many risks posed by emerging mismatches among interacting species remain unclear, as do needed management responses to reduce economic and social impacts.

Diseases and Invasives
Impacts of climate change on species health are complex and difficult to generalize across systems; for example, the role of climate change among other drivers of the spread of tick-borne diseases, like changes in land use or human behavior, remains a topic of some debate.

Studies showing that invasives could be limited in response to climate change are based mostly on studies of terrestrial species whose range shifts are often limited by oceans, indicating that more research is needed on different types of species to improve projections.

Description of Confidence and Likelihood
There is high confidence that the interaction of climate change with other stressors will very likely lead to biodiversity loss, changes in species distribution and life cycles, and increasing impacts from invasives and diseases, given a very well-documented range of species changes across multiple ecosystem types, as well as clear economic and social consequences in many regions already experiencing these impacts. The evidence is strong, and the authors assessed high confidence that some species, particularly those that cannot easily relocate and those that are highly temperature sensitive, are facing heightened extinction risks, and that these are very likely, given that some species populations are already in serious decline at current levels of warming. Policy actions to help species adapt were assessed, and what they have in common is a clear identification of risks and prioritization of species and locations for protection. The evidence base for these policy actions is clear, and the authors have high confidence that such actions can expand and improve options for management.
Key Message 8.3
Impacts to Ecosystem Services Create Risks and Opportunities

Description of Evidence Base

Access to Ecosystem Services
There is strong evidence that communities of color experience greater air pollution inequity\textsuperscript{228,229,230,231} compared to White communities and have reduced and/or less high-quality access to green space, trees, and other ecosystems that buffer these impacts. Limited access to resources and services also extends to those with limited income or wealth (also known as economic capacity), and these factors interact with race and other social hierarchies, including power, in complex ways.\textsuperscript{333}

Climate Impacts on Ecosystem Services
There is strong evidence at the global level that warming and carbon dioxide fertilization effects have already altered some ecosystem services, such as coastal carbon storage and ecosystem biodiversity, as noted in the recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change report.\textsuperscript{2} For the US, while not all ecosystem services have been quantitatively assessed for climate impacts, those that have been show either currently observable declines (e.g., nearly 40% of pollinator-dependent crops in the US suffer from low pollinator abundance)\textsuperscript{334} or projections of future decline (e.g., reduced outdoor recreation opportunities by 2050).\textsuperscript{210}

Restoration
Evidence for the effectiveness of restoration at improving ecosystem service benefits is growing as more landscape-scale restoration is undertaken across multiple ecosystems.\textsuperscript{263} Additionally, valuation of ecosystem services benefits has proven to be a strong driver of new restoration programs, as it helps identify potential ecosystems to manage or restore (e.g., how health benefits can be obtained from restoration of vegetated terrestrial systems).\textsuperscript{262}

Major Uncertainties and Research Gaps

Measurement, Valuation, and Management of Ecosystem Services
There remain challenges in measuring, monitoring, and evaluating the impacts and effectiveness of many ecosystem services.\textsuperscript{335} In the US, urban spaces continue to be under-researched, especially in communities of color, despite often being biodiverse environments;\textsuperscript{336} and current research is usually limited to city-specific case studies of ecosystem services measurements and analyses, with less focus on comparative work.\textsuperscript{248,337} Furthermore, many city planning documents do not include climate change adaptation practices regarding cultural services or environmental injustice in ways that translate to implementation\textsuperscript{338} and instead focus on physical and natural resources, costs, or logistics.\textsuperscript{247} Research that engages communities, residents, and small organizations in identifying and designing measurements, valuation, and management criteria is a persistent gap, given the continuing lack of resident participatory research and community science in identifying problems and implementing solutions. A few studies have connected multiple types of urban ecosystem services from a theoretical planning point of view,\textsuperscript{248,337,339} but integrating justice into ecosystem service practices by prioritizing community needs, aligning methods of assessment and criteria to goals, and addressing environmental racism is a critical gap.\textsuperscript{247}

Restoration
There are few examples of ecological restoration practices designed to be resilient to climate change,\textsuperscript{340,341} with particular challenges around making decisions about what needs to be “restored”\textsuperscript{342} and to what conditions or baseline, as well as how to minimize vulnerability to extreme climate events that may be unprecedented in recent history. There can be spatial disconnects between where restoration actions need
to be implemented and where ecosystem service improvements will be observed, and the economic cost of restoration efforts and stakeholder preferences for desired states can prevent recovery efforts.

Nature-Based Solutions (NBSs)
NBSs could cause risks of undesirable outcomes if they entail ecosystem transformations or species introductions over large areas of land; thus, they require careful study prior to implementation to avoid exacerbation of environmental and social injustices. There are increasing cases of poorly designed NBSs and rising concern over second-order effects, like green gentrification. However, there are considerable research gaps regarding how to avoid these outcomes. Evidence suggests that more stakeholder engagement in carbon removal projects and policies could help maximize adaptation benefits, but this is an area of ongoing research.

Description of Confidence and Likelihood
There is high confidence that climate is having variable and growing impacts on many ecosystem services, based on an expanding literature containing many regional examples. These changes are assessed as very likely, given the existing levels of warming in areas where impacts have already been observed. There is high confidence that these changes in availability and quality of ecosystem services, when combined with existing social inequities that are also well documented, will result in disproportionate impacts on some communities. These disproportionate impacts were assessed as very likely, given that impacts are already visible, particularly in urban areas. The authors assessed it to be likely that nature-based solutions designed to be equitable can provide multifunctional benefits for climate adaptation and mitigation, although there is only medium confidence that current examples of nature-based solutions are able to fully address mitigation and adaptation needs in an equitable manner, given a growing body of evidence that poorly designed or inequitable nature-based solutions do continue to be implemented in some places.
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